



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/017,050	12/13/2001	Sudhindra Pundaleeka Herle	SAMS01-00184	6585	
. 75	90 04/21/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Docket Clerk			NGUYEN	NGUYEN, HUY D	
P.O. Box 80088 Dallas, TX 75	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2681		
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/017,050	HERLE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Huy D Nguyen	2681			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	, , ,	orrespondence address			
A SHOTHE I  - Exter after  - If the  - If NO  - Failul  Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) <u></u>	1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 March 2005.  2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10) 🔲 .	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[] / a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 10/017,050

Art Unit: 2681

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bowman et al. (US 2002/0174431 A1) in view of Wu (US 6,442,570).

Regarding claims 1, 8, 15, Bowman et al. teaches that for use in a communications system, an apparatus for wireless software download to a wireless communications device capable of Internet access wherein the software to be downloaded is retrieved from a remote server through a wireless connection for the wireless communications device (see paragraph [0029]).

Bowman et al. does not teach an auxiliary device including sufficient storage for holding software to be downloaded; and a connection between the wireless communications device and the auxiliary device, wherein the software is to be downloaded to the auxiliary device and installed from the auxiliary device onto the wireless communications device.

However, Wu teaches an auxiliary device (e.g., removable memory card 112 – Fig. 1) including sufficient storage for holding software to be downloaded (see column 4, lines 30-34); and a connection between the wireless communications device and the auxiliary device (see Fig.

Application/Control Number: 10/017,050

Art Unit: 2681

1), wherein the software is to be downloaded to the auxiliary device and installed from the auxiliary device onto the wireless communications device (see column 4, lines 40-42).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to apply the teaching of Wu to the teaching of Bowman et al. to expand the memory of the portable device as taught in Wu (see column 4, lines 30-34).

Regarding claims 2, 9, 16, the combination of Bowman et al. and Wu teaches the apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the auxiliary device lacks a connection to the remote server independent of the connection between the wireless communications device and the auxiliary device (Wu Fig. 1).

Regarding claims 4, 11, 18, the combination of Bowman et al. and Wu teaches the apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the software to be downloaded is retrieved by the auxiliary device from the remote server through an Internet connection provided by the wireless communications device (see Bowman paragraph [0029] and Wu column 4, lines 40-42).

Regarding claims 6, 13, 20, the combination of Bowman et al. and Wu teaches the apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the software downloaded to the auxiliary device, when installed on the wireless communications device, replaces software on the wireless device at a time of the software download (see Wu column 4, lines 40-42).

Regarding claims 7, 14, the combination of Bowman et al. and Wu teaches the apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the software downloaded to the auxiliary device is retained after installation on the wireless communications device and reused for installation on other similar wireless communications devices (see Wu column 4, lines 36-38).

Art Unit: 2681

Regarding claims 5, 12, and 19, the combination of Bowman et al. and Wu teaches the claimed invention except that the wireless communications device is a mobile telephone and the auxiliary device is a personal digital assistant. However, it would be an obvious matter of design choice to use a mobile telephone as a wireless communications device and a PDA as an auxiliary device since the invention would perform equally well with the wireless communications device being a mobile telephone and the auxiliary device being a PDA.

## Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - Sawano et al. (US 2001/0039212 A1) teaches portable game machine with download capability.
  - Abecassis, Max teaches intermittently playing a video.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huy D Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-3283. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on 703-306-0003. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2681

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

له

Huy Nguyen 04/14/2005

JEAN GELIN PRIMARY EXAMINER